

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,971	09/25/2000	Bryan Hulstedt	-	1104
23626 75	590 05/21/2004	EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 6815 WEAVER ROAD			FLORES SANC	CHEZ, OMAR
ROCKFORD, IL 61114-8018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	16
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	VΨ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ /				
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	0.00	09/667,971	HULSTEDT, BRYAN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) di will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 F</u>	ebruary 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-6 and 9-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8 and 13-16</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	<u> </u>	ved in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	red.				
Attachment							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3)	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/667,971

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 2/18/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcok et al. in view of Hasler et al.

Alcok discloses (Fig. 1-9) the invention including means for advancing the rod stock (23 and 32), a first axis (Fig. 1), a closed knife (51-52) having an edge, a gage surface 115 and second mechanical abutment means 115a. Alcok doesn't show an air supply having a discharge port. However, Hasler teaches the use of an air supply having a discharge port 13 for the purpose of removing the workpiece out of the cutting machine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Alcok's device by providing the air supply having a discharge port as taught by Hasler in order to obtain a device for quickly removing the workpiece out of the cutting machine.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,971 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claims 1, 17-19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcok et al. in view of Bezama et al. (6,276,246).

Alcok discloses (Fig. 1-9) the invention including means for advancing the rod stock (23 and 32), a first axis (Fig. 1), a closed knife (51-52) having an edge, a gage surface 115 and second mechanical abutment means 115a. Alcok doesn't show an air supply having a discharge port. However, Bezama teaches the use of an air supply having a discharge port (17 and 82) for the purpose of removing the workpiece out of the cutting machine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Alcok's device by providing the air supply having a discharge port as taught by Bezama in order to obtain a device for quickly removing the workpiece out of the cutting machine.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-8 and 13-16 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that "Hasler has nothing to do with solving the problems of jamming and lodging", the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 09/667,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Doble, Iknayan et al. and Bodycomb are cited to show related device.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,971

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs May 16, 2004

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER